

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,479	04/04/2001	Howard Altarescu	17209-012	6249	
54205 CHADBOURN	09/826,479 04/04/2001 Howard Altarescu 17209-012 54205 7590 08/08/2007 CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP	EXAM	AMINER		
***************************************			DASS, HARISH T		
NEW TORK,	N1 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
				,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,							
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	085 4-4 0	09/826,479	ı	ALTARESCU, HOWARD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Harish T. Da		3693			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventication. days, a reply within the statute tory period will apply and will apply.	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>19 Mav 2007</i> .					
) This action is no	n-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	·		secution as to the merits i	is		
	closed in accordance with the practice						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the approximation of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documen	received. received in Application ts have been receive	on No			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certifie	d copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(c)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	9-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date) Notice of Informal Page 1975 Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/826,479

Art Unit: 3693

S 60 0

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new matter "ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk". Examiner was unable find a support for this limitation in original specification. This rejection will be removed after applicant provides page number(s) in original specification where this limitation can be found.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallman (US 6,360,210) in view of King et al (hereinafter King – US 5,704,045).

Application/Control Number: 09/826,479

Art Unit: 3693

Re. Claim 1, Wallman discloses entering data descriptive of a risk relating to a financial transaction into a risk computer system [see entire document particularly, Abstract; C6 L14-L33], presenting the data on a communications network [C8 L48-L60; C15 L52-L56], and receiving (providing) a bid to assume the risk into the host computer [Fig. 1 (#1-8); C7 L34-L42; C8 L59-L61; C16 L59-L64]. Wallman does not explicitly disclose allocating the risk to a bidder submitting an acceptable bid for compliant transaction and auction host computer and ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures.

However, bidder submitting an acceptable bid, compliance to rules of auction and auction host server/computer are will known for example, ebay.com is will known auction host server with auction rules, which accepts bidders' request for bidding and allows the bidder to participate in auction of his/her interest. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Wallman and include bidder submitting an acceptable bid, compliance to rules of auction and auction host computer (i.e. auction system with auction host computer and method for bidder submitting an acceptable bid in compliance to auction system rules) to provide an auction or bidding system which allows the bidder to participate in any type (English, reverse, etc.) of auction of his/her interest.

King discloses risk transfer [Figure 2; col. 1 lines 28-45; col. 5 lines 45-48; also see reinsurance, underwriter, and transferring difficult to place risk], bidding (offer and counteroffer) [col. 6 lines 53-63], allocating the risk [col. 2 lines 20-29; col. 9 lines 1-8;

Application/Control Number: 09/826,479

Art Unit: 3693

also see risk diversification col. 11 line 62], and ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures [col. 6 lines 15-24; col. 10 lines 1-18; claims 1-2, 23, 42] for providing a system for transferring risks which are unique or difficult to place in existing markets.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the modified disclosure of Wallman and include allocating the risk, and ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures, as disclosed by King, to provide a system for transferring risks which are unique or difficult to place in existing markets.

Re. Claim 2, Wallman discloses wherein the financial transaction relates to a credit derivatives market [Figures 2-3; C12 L25-L38; C3 L31-L39].

Re. Claims 3-4, Wallman discloses wherein the financial transaction relates to medium term or long term guarantee, and wherein the financial transaction relates to a medium term insurance. [C3 L49-L59; C7 L25-L42; C14 L25-L41; C15 L12-L25]

Re. Claims 5-6, Wallman discloses wherein the data is presented on a website via the Internet, and wherein the communications network comprises the Internet [C5 L63 to C6 L8; C8 L48-L67].

Re. Claims 7-8, Wallman discloses additionally comprising the step of basing bids upon a yield requirement, and additionally comprising the step of basing bids upon a maximum notional amount [C9 L50 to C11 L20].

Page 5

Re. Claim 9, King further discloses additionally comprising the step of designating bidders (contributors) as qualified counterparties according to a predetermined set of criteria [col. 17 line 46 to col. 18line 10] to engage in practicing risk transfer and negotiate the propose policy. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosures of Wallman and King to define the counterparty qualification to trade.

Re. Claims 10-12, Claims 10-12 are rejected with same rational as claim 1.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument regarding USC 112 first paragraph.

At the time of filing original specification the limitation added by the applicant "ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures" was not in applicants possession at the time.

Specifically, it is the Examiner's position the at the time of filing the original spec, applicant was not in possession of a system that "ensuring compliance of a risk

· · · ·

transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures". The specific passages supplied by applicant teaches a system that allows a user to posted on the website at the time the exposure (risk) is auctioned. This is very different from a system that ensures any type of compliance.

It is the Examiners position that one skill in the art could not been reasonably concluded based on applicable spec that the applicant has possession of this claimed invention.

In response to applicant's argument that King does not teaches "ensuring compliance of a risk transaction with a set of applicable risk auction rules and procedures", per office action secondary reference King teaches "risk transfer" (see page 4 of office action). In case of compliance to rule auction (see page 3 last paragraph), examiner took an official notice and provided an example of ebay.com. Enclose with this office action is a copy ebay.com's web pages which supports examiners position.

Conclusion

Claims 1-12 are rejected.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3693

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T. Dass whose telephone number is 571-272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James A. Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Harish T Dass Examiner Art Unit 3693

8/6/07

Carlentat